

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/616,056	NAM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David S. Blum	2813	

All Participants:

(1) David S. Blum.

(2) Scott hatfield.

Status of Application: non-final rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 September 2005

Time: 1:00PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Park


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

applicant submitted a certified translation perfecting the priority claim. Park is withdrawn as prior art. agreement was not reached as to Shih and interpretation of claim 78.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)